

# WFS1 R629W — Wolframin

Arginine → Tryptophan at position 629 in a connecting loop. ClinVar Pathogenic, associated with classical Wolfram syndrome 1. AlphaMissense 0.181 (deep BENIGN range), DynaMut2  $\Delta\Delta G$  -0.56 kcal/mol (destabilising). pLDDT 60 — borderline. A puzzling variant: ClinVar says pathogenic, AM says benign,  $\Delta\Delta G$  is mild.

## IDENTITY

Variant	R629W (p.Arginine629Tryptophan)
DNA change	c.1885C>T
Gene · Protein	WFS1 · Wolframin (890 aa)
UniProt	O76024 · WFS1_HUMAN
ClinVar accession	VCV001071979
Amino acid change	Arginine (R) → Tryptophan (W) — large positively-charged guanidinium-bearing residue replaced by bulky aromatic indole-bearing residue. Loss of charge, gain of aromatic packing.

## STRUCTURAL CONTEXT

AlphaFold model	AF-O76024-F1, v6
pLDDT at residue 629	<b>59.97</b> <b>CONFIDENT</b>
Domain	Connecting loop
Position context	Connecting loop · position 629 sits in a loop region with borderline AlphaFold confidence (pLDDT 60).
IDR flag	No — pLDDT well above 50 threshold

Position 629 sits in a connecting loop region. The AlphaFold model places R629 within 5 Å of THR628 (2.5 Å), SER630 (2.5 Å), SER626 (3.8 Å), SER631 (4.3 Å), and LEU627 (4.5 Å). The local environment is unusually serine-rich (S630, S626, S631) — three serines within 5 Å — suggesting a polar loop region characterized by hydroxyl-mediated H-bonding. The wild-type arginine at 629 likely engages this serine-rich environment through its long, basic side chain — H-bonding to the serine hydroxyls and possibly extending toward a partner protein for recognition. Replacing arginine with tryptophan eliminates the positive charge and the long H-bond-donating side chain, replacing them with a bulky aromatic indole. The serine-rich local environment loses its arginine partner; the introduced tryptophan does not

fit cleanly into a polar pocket. The  $|\Delta\Delta G|$  of 0.56 is modest. But AlphaMissense places this at 0.181 — deep in the likely-benign range. The discrepancy with ClinVar Pathogenic classification (associated with Wolfram syndrome 1) is similar to the W639G case. Possible explanations: AM under-calls pathogenicity for variants in low-confidence regions (pLDDT 60 here); the variant is pathogenic only in specific clinical contexts; or it has been clinically misclassified. The Atlas surfaces this complexity rather than resolving it.

## COMPUTATIONAL PREDICTIONS

ALPHAMISSENSE

**0.180**

am\_class: **LBen** —  
threshold > 0.564

DYNAMUT2  $\Delta\Delta G$

**-0.56** kcal/

mol

Destabilising · Job  
177990266609

PLDDT (ALPHAFOLD)

**59.97**

confident

## CLINICAL EVIDENCE

ClinVar classification

**PATHOGENIC**

Review status

criteria provided, multiple submitters, no conflicts

Last evaluated

2025/10/17 00:00

Inheritance

Documented in association with Wolfram syndrome 1 (AR).

WFS1 variant landscape

R629W is 1 of ~326 pathogenic-spectrum variants in WFS1 (out of 2,243 in ClinVar)

- Wolfram syndrome 1
- Inborn genetic diseases

## RESEARCH PATH DECISION TREE

$\Delta\Delta G < 2$  + binding site affected → CATEGORY 3 – docking experiments  $\Delta\Delta G$  2–4 → CATEGORY 2 – pharmacological chaperones  $\Delta\Delta G > 4$  → CATEGORY 1 – gene therapy pLDDT < 50 → CATEGORY 5 – IDR, experimental only Stable fold + functional site hit → CATEGORY 4 – site-specific docking

**Category 4 — Stable Fold, Function Disrupted (AM caveat).**  $|\Delta\Delta G| = 0.56$  kcal/mol — fold survives. AlphaMissense 0.181 deep benign — but ClinVar Pathogenic.

The mechanism, if pathogenic, is loss of an arginine-serine network in a polar loop region. Therapeutic strategy is genuinely uncertain given the AM-ClinVar disconnect and the pLDDT 60 borderline structural confidence.

This is a variant where wet-lab characterization is strongly recommended before any therapeutic strategy is set. The Atlas appropriately flags the conflict rather than over-confidently picking a side.

R629W is one of the Atlas's clearest "gray zone" variants. AM says benign, ClinVar says pathogenic, pLDDT is borderline,  $\Delta\Delta G$  is mild. The Atlas surfaces this conflict honestly. Drug discovery here pauses; experimental validation drives the next step. The framework's value here is in NOT committing to a confident interpretation when the evidence doesn't support one.